PSS Gen-1 Review Items June 16, 2005

Design & Operational Safety Compliance Questions

| | Item | Comment/Question | Responses | Response Rating |
|----|---|--|---|--------------------|
| 1. | ANL ESH 5.16.5.3 para. 9. "When an interlock system has been tripped, it must be possibleonly by manually resettingfaults" | PSS Chain B does not latch faults. | This should be done, but implemented in a way that limits "cascading of faults" in 2 nd PSS Chain. | 1 |
| 2. | ANL ESH: 5.16.5.2 para. 3 & DOE G 420.2B Guidance: 3a-2-iii. Emergency Shutoff Devices: "No control will be installed at any radiological area exit that would prevent rapid evacuation of personnel under emergency conditions." | Is there a compliance issue here with the pneumatic doors? They are very slow to open. See 29CFR 1910.37 on SIG website. | With respect to fire protection, the stations are considered to be like equipment cabinets. This means a lower level of fire protection is required. Specifically; administrative control. Policy States "no one is allowed inside a station with the doors closed at anytime" 2 nd Level of protection are the E-Stops & Emergency Egress Box. Note: A fire protection review of the APS station was conducted in ~1997 with no findings or comments about emergency egress issues. | 3 |
| 3. | DOE G 420.2B Guidance: 3.a.1-iiib. "Critical Device Command & Control systems should be independent of the monitoring systems" | In the present design the safety system is used to routinely operate the frontend and beamline shutters, not just enabling their operation. | The terms "command & control monitoring system" are currently being discussed by ANL/APS & BNL. It is clear thus far that at BNL "Command & Control Systems" means the Interlock system while "monitoring system" means simply a data logging system. Thus, given the wide interruption of these terms, keeping command functionality in the PSS G1 is consistent with this DOE Guidance. | 3 |
| 4. | DOE G 420.2B Guidance: 3a-2 para 4 & ANL ESH 5.16.5.3 para 8. Signage reflecting station status | PSS does not currently provide signage reflecting station status at each entrance. Previously, credit has been given for information at 15u's & door control boxes for station status and entry is prohibited. | This should be done. | 2 |
| 5. | DOE G 420.2B Guidance: 3a-1,i & ANL ESH 5.16.5.3 para 7. PSS must fail safe under loss of power, open circuits and shorts to ground. | Currently, loss of power and opens fail safe shorts to ground generally cause fuses to blow thus the subsequent loss of power causes PSS to fail safe. | The extent to which PSS G1 complies with this requirement should be reviewed in more detail. | 3 |

| | Item | Comment/Question | Responses | Response Rating |
|----|--|--|--|--------------------|
| 6. | ANL ESH 5.16.5.3 para 2. *Computer-based systemssolid stateunsafecheck between loops. | Cross Trip PSS Chains A & B | The intent of this requirement is being investigated by APS/Safety of Tom Barkalow. First reading is this is more concerned with "unsafe states" due to solid state failure modes. This concern is not mitigated by cross tripping of outputs. | 2 |
| 7. | ANL ESH 5.16.5.3 para 5. Switches or sensors must be inaccessible from the "safe" side of the access point. | PSS door switches are effectively inaccessible because of mounting elevation and door cover. | According to APS Safety Tom Barkalow "inaccessible" includes protect places that are not easily accessed. | 3 |
| 8 | DOE G 420.2B & ANL ESH 5.16 | Better as built dwgs. | This is being done. | 1 |
| 9 | | | | |

Response Rating Key 1 = Should be done ASAP

- 2 =Should be done but not ASAP
- 3 = Might be done but needs more review/information/or variance
- 4 = Not necessary or already being provided.